arXiv:1405.1349v1 [math-ph] 6 May 2014

On integrability of some bi-Hamiltonian two field systems of PDE

Alberto De Sole [*], Victor G. Kac [†] and Refik Turhan [‡]

May 7, 2014

Abstract

We continue the study of integrability of bi-Hamiltonian systems with a compatible pair of local Poisson structures (H_0, H_1), where H_0 is a strongly skew-adjoint operator. This is applied to the construction of some new two field integrable systems of PDE by taking the pair (H_0, H_1) in the family of compatible Poisson structures that arose in the study of cohomology of moduli spaces of curves.

1 Introduction

The present paper is a continuation of our paper [DSKT13], where we began the study of integrability of bi-Hamiltonian PDE, with a compatible pair of local Poisson structures (H_0, H_1), such that H_0 is strongly skew-adjoint. Recall [DSKT13] that a skew-adjoint $\ell \times \ell$ matrix differential operator H is called *strongly skew-adjoint* over an algebra of differential functions $\mathcal{V}$ if

(i) $\operatorname{Ker} H \subset \delta\big(\mathcal{V}/\partial\mathcal{V}\big)$;

(ii) $(\operatorname{Ker} H)^{\perp} = \operatorname{Im} H$,

where δ is the variational derivative, and $\perp$ denotes orthogonal complement with respect to the bilinear form $\mathcal{V}^{\ell}\times\mathcal{V}^{\ell} \to \mathcal{V}/\partial\mathcal{V}$ defined by $(F,G) \mapsto \int F\cdot G$.

[*]Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma "La Sapienza", 00185 Roma, Italy and Department of Mathematics, M.I.T., Cambridge, MA 02139, USA. desole@mat.uniroma1.it Supported in part by PRIN and FIRB grants.

[†]Department of Mathematics, M.I.T., Cambridge, MA 02139, USA. kac@math.mit.edu Supported in part by NSA grant.

[‡]Department of Engineering Physics, Ankara University, 06100 Tandogan, Ankara Turkey. turhan@eng.ankara.edu.tr

First, we develop a theory of strongly skew-adjoint matrix differential operators over a field of differential functions $\mathcal{K}$. In particular we show that, in this case, we can replace condition (ii) by

(ii') $\dim_{\mathcal{C}}(\operatorname{Ker} H) = \deg(H)$,

where $\deg(H)$ is the degree of the Dieudonné determinant of H, and $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{K}$ is the subfield of constants (see Corollary 2.3). This equivalent definition is more convenient if one is willing to work over a field of differential functions.

Second, we use the approach to the Lenard-Magri scheme of integrability developed in [BDSK09, Prop.2.9] to show that if H_0 is strongly skew-adjoint and

$$C(H_0) \cap C(H_1) \text{ has codimension 1 in } C(H_0)\,, \tag{1.1}$$

where $C(H)$ denotes the space of Casimirs of H, then the Lenard-Magri scheme always works beginning with $\int h_0 \in C(H_0) \backslash C(H_1)$ (see Corollary 2.10 and Remark 2.11). (Note that condition (1.1) holds for all (H_0, H_1) considered in the present paper, but fails for the pair (H_0, H_1) studied in [DSKT13], which makes the proof of integrability for the latter pair more difficult.)

We apply these results to arbitrary pairs (H_0, H_1) from a 6-parameter family of pairwise compatible Poisson structures that arose in the study of the second cohomology of the moduli spaces of curves. This 6-parameter family naturally corresponds to the Lie conformal algebra $\hat{L}$, which is the central extension by the space of all 2-cocycles, with values in the base field $\mathbb{F}$, of the Lie conformal algebra

$$L = \mathbb{F}[\partial]u \oplus \mathbb{F}[\partial]v\,,$$

with λ-brackets

$$[u_\lambda u] = (\partial + 2\lambda)u\ ,\quad [u_\lambda v] = (\partial + \lambda)v\ ,\quad [v_\lambda v] = 0\,.$$

The Lie conformal algebra L in turn corresponds to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of differential operators of order at most 1 on the circle. It was a key observation of [ADCKP88] that $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{F})$ is canonically isomorphic to the second cohomology of the moduli space of curves of given genus $g \geq 5$.

A systematic analysis of all pairs (H_0, H_1) coming from the 6-parameter family produces several large families of integrable bi-Hamiltonian PDE (see Sections 4 and 5). Some special cases of these PDE are well-known integrable systems [Ito82, Kup85a, Kup85b, AF88, FL96, GN90]. Other special cases

seem to be new, like equation (6.1), which is an extension of the Antonowicz-Fordy equation [AF88]; equation (6.2), which is an extension of an equation which appeared in the list of [MSY87]; equation (6.3), which is an extension of the Fokas-Liu equation [FL96], the Kupershmidt equation [Kup85b], the Ito equation [Ito82], and the Kaup-Broer equation [Kup85a]; and equations (6.4), (6.5) and (6.6). Upon potentiation, some special cases of these equations also appeared in the list of [MSY87]. All of this is discussed in Section 6.

Throughout the paper all vector spaces are considered over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic zero.

We wish to thank Vladimir Sokolov for enlightening correspondence and Pavel Etingof for bright observations.

2 The Lenard-Magri scheme of integrality

2.1 Algebras of differential functions

Consider the algebra of differential polynomials $R_\ell = \mathbb{F}[u_i^{(n)} \,|\, i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+]$, with the derivation ∂ defined on generators by $\partial u_i^{(n)} = u_i^{(n+1)}$. One has:

$$[\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^{(n)}}, \partial] = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^{(n-1)}} \quad \text{for every} \quad i \in I,\, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\,. \tag{2.1}$$

(the RHS is considered to be 0 for $n = 0$). Recall that an *algebra of differential functions* $\mathcal{V}$ in the variables u_i, $i \in I = \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, is a differential algebra extension of R_ℓ, endowed with commuting derivations $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^{(n)}} : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$ extending the usual partial derivatives on R_ℓ, such that (2.1) holds and, for every $f \in \mathcal{V}$, we have $\frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i^{(n)}} = 0$ for all but finitely many values of i and n. We will assume throughout the paper that $\mathcal{V}$ is a domain.

Consider the following filtration of the algebra of differential functions $\mathcal{V}$:

$$\mathcal{V}_{m,i} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{V} \,\middle|\, \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_j^{(n)}} = 0 \text{ for all } (n,j) > (m,i) \right\},$$

where $>$ denotes lexicographic order. The algebra of differential functions $\mathcal{V}$ is called *normal* [BDSK09] if $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^{(m)}}(\mathcal{V}_{m,i}) = \mathcal{V}_{m,i}$ for all $i \in I, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Note that any algebra of differential function can be extended to a normal one (see [DSK13]). Examples of normal algebras of differential functions are R_ℓ, and $R_\ell[u_1^{-1}, \log(u_1)]$.

We denote by $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{V}$ the subagebra of quasiconstants:

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \alpha \in \mathcal{V} \,\middle|\, \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial u_i^{(n)}} = 0 \ \text{ for all } \ i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \right\}.$$

Obviously $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{V}$ is a differential subalgebra, and we will assume, without loss of generality, that it is a differential field. We also denote by $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{V}$ the subagebra of constants:

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\{ a \in \mathcal{V} \,\middle|\, \partial a = 0 \right\}.$$

It follows from (2.1) that $\mathcal{C}$ is a subfield of $\mathcal{F}$.

2.2 Local functionals, evolutionary vector fields, and variational derivative

We call $\mathcal{V}/\partial\mathcal{V}$ the space of *local functionals*, and we denote by $\int f \in \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}$ the coset of $f \in \mathcal{V}$ in the quotient space.

An *evolutionary vector field* is a derivation of $\mathcal{V}$ of the form

$$X_P = \sum_{i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (\partial^n P_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^{(n)}} \,, \quad P \in \mathcal{V}^\ell \,.$$

Evolutionary vector fields commute with ∂, they form a Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{V})$, and the Lie bracket of two evolutionary vector fields is given by the formula

$$[X_P, X_Q] = X_{[P,Q]} \ \text{ where } \ [P,Q] = X_P(Q) - X_Q(P) \,.$$

The *variational derivative* of $\int f \in \mathcal{V}/\partial\mathcal{V}$ is $\delta f = \left(\frac{\delta f}{\delta u_i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{V}^\ell$, where

$$\frac{\delta f}{\delta u_i} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (-\partial)^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i^{(n)}} \,. \tag{2.2}$$

2.3 Poisson structures

Given an $\ell \times \ell$-matrix differential operator $H = \left(H_{ij}(\partial)\right)_{i,j \in I} \in \mathrm{Mat}_{\ell \times \ell} \mathcal{V}[\partial]$, we associate the bracket $\{\cdot\,,\,\cdot\}_H$ on $\mathcal{V}/\partial\mathcal{V}$, given by

$$\{\textstyle\int f, \int g\}_H = \int \delta g \cdot H(\partial) \delta f \,. \tag{2.3}$$

Note that the bracket (2.3) is skewsymmetric if and only if H is skew-adjoint. If (2.3) defines a Lie algebra bracket on $\mathcal{V}/\partial\mathcal{V}$, then H is called a

Poisson structure on $\mathcal{V}$. Two Poisson structures H_0 and H_1 on $\mathcal{V}$ are called *compatible* if their sum $H_0 + H_1$ is again a Poisson structure.

Recall that a matrix differential operator $H \in \mathrm{Mat}_{\ell\times\ell}\,\mathcal{V}[\partial]$ is called *non-degenerate* if it is not a left (or right) zero divisor in $\mathrm{Mat}_{\ell\times\ell}\,\mathcal{V}[\partial]$ (equivalently, if its Dieudonné determinant in non-zero).

A *Casimir element* for the Poisson structure H is a local functional $\int f \in \mathcal{V}/\partial\mathcal{V}$ such that $\delta f \in \mathrm{Ker}(H)$, i.e. the space of Casimir elements for H is

$$C(H) = \left\{ \int f \in \mathcal{V}/\partial\mathcal{V} \,\middle|\, H(\partial)\delta f = 0 \right\}. \tag{2.4}$$

Remark 2.1. Recall that if H_0 and H_1 are compatible Poisson structures, then $C(H_0)$ is a Lie algebra with respect to the Lie bracket $\{\cdot\,,\,\cdot\}_1 = \{\cdot\,,\,\cdot\}_{H_1}$ [DSKT13].

2.4 Strongly non-degenerate and strongly skew-adjoint matrix differential operators over a differential field

For a matrix differential operator $H \in \mathrm{Mat}_{\ell\times\ell}\,\mathcal{V}[\partial]$, we have $\mathrm{Im}\,H^* \subset (\mathrm{Ker}\,H)^\perp$, where the orthogonal complement is with respect to the pairing $\mathcal{V}^\ell \times \mathcal{V}^\ell \to \mathcal{V}/\partial\mathcal{V}$ given by $(F,P) \mapsto \int F\cdot P$. We want to describe the situations when equality holds.

Throughout this section, unless otherwise specified, we consider instead of $\mathcal{V}$ its field of fractions $\mathcal{K} = Frac(\mathcal{V})$. Recall that any $\ell\times\ell$ matrix differential operator $H \in \mathrm{Mat}_{\ell\times\ell}\,\mathcal{K}[\partial]$ can be brought, by elementary row transformations, to an upper triangular matrix $H_1 \in \mathrm{Mat}_{\ell\times\ell}\,\mathcal{K}[\partial]$ (see e.g. [CDSK14, Lem.3.1]). The matrix H is non-degenerate if and only if H_1 has non-zero diagonal entries. In this case, the *Dieudonnè determinant* of H is

$$\det(H) = \det{}_1(H)\xi^{\deg(H)}\,, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\det_1(H) \in \mathcal{K}$ is the product of the leading coefficients of the diagonal entries of H_1, and the *degree* $\deg(H)$ of H is the sum of the differential orders of the diagonal entries of H_1. If $H = AB$ is non-degenerate, then

$$\det(H) = \det(A)\det(B) \quad\text{and}\quad \deg(H) = \deg(A) + \deg(B)\,. \tag{2.6}$$

Recall also that, if H is non-degenerate, then

$$\dim_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{Ker}\,H) \leq \deg(H)\,.$$

(Note that we can always construct a differential field extension $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}$ of $\mathcal{K}$ with the same field of constants such that, in this extension, $\dim_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{Ker}\,H) =$

$\deg(H)$, see [CDSK13, Lem.4.3], but, in general, this extension $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}$ will not be an algebra of differential functions.) For a more detailed exposition on the Dieudonnè determinant, see [CDSK14].

Proposition 2.2. *Let $H \in \mathrm{Mat}_{\ell\times\ell}\mathcal{K}[\partial]$ be a non-degenerate $\ell\times\ell$ matrix differential operator. Then there exist non-degenerate matrices $A, B \in \mathrm{Mat}_{\ell\times\ell}\mathcal{K}[\partial]$ such that*

$$H = AB\ ,\quad \mathrm{Ker}\, H = \mathrm{Ker}\, B \quad \textit{and} \quad \deg(B) = \dim_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{Ker}\, B)\,. \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. Let $\{F_1, \dots, F_s\}$ be an ordered basis of $\mathrm{Ker}\, H$, considered as a (finite dimensional) vector space over $\mathcal{C}$. Let $F_1 = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_\ell \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Ker}\, H\backslash\{0\}$, and assume, without loss of generality, that $f_\ell \neq 0$. We have the decomposition $H = H_1K_1$ in $\mathrm{Mat}_{\ell\times\ell}\mathcal{K}[\partial]$, where

$$K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\frac{f_1}{f_\ell} \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & -\frac{f_2}{f_\ell} \\ \vdots & & \dots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -\frac{f_{\ell-1}}{f_\ell} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\partial + \frac{f'_\ell}{f_\ell} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.8}$$

(For scalar matrices, it is clear by the division algorithm. For arbitrary matrices, the identity $H(\partial)F_1 = 0$ gives conditions on the entries of H which are equivalent to saying that $H = H_1K_1$ for some $H_1 \in \mathrm{Mat}_{\ell\times\ell}\mathcal{K}[\partial]$.) Note that $\mathrm{Ker}\, K_1 = \mathcal{C}F_1 \subset \mathrm{Ker}\, H$, and $K_1(\mathrm{Ker}\, H) \subset \mathrm{Ker}\, H_1$. Hence, $K_1(\partial)F_2 = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ \vdots \\ g_\ell \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Ker}\, H_1\backslash\{0\}$. We can decompose, as before, $H_1 = H_2K_2$ in $\mathrm{Mat}_{\ell\times\ell}\mathcal{K}[\partial]$, where K_2 is as in (2.8) with f_i's replaced by g_i's. Hence, $H = H_2K_2K_1$. Repeating the same argument s times, we get

$$H = H_sK_s \dots K_1\,,$$

where $K_1, \dots, K_s$ have all degree 1, and their kernels are

$$\mathrm{Ker}\, K_1 = \mathcal{C}F_1\,,\ \mathrm{Ker}\, K_2 = \mathcal{C}K_1(\partial)F_2, \dots, \mathrm{Ker}\, K_s = \mathcal{C}K_{s-1}(\partial)\dots K_1(\partial)F_s\,.$$

Hence, letting $B = K_s \dots K_1$, we have that

$$\mathrm{Span}_{\mathcal{C}}\{F_1, \dots, F_s\} \subset \mathrm{Ker}\, B\,. \tag{2.9}$$

On the other hand, we also have $\deg(B) = s$, which implies that equality holds in (2.9) $\square$

Corollary 2.3. *Let $H \in \mathrm{Mat}_{\ell\times\ell}\mathcal{K}[\partial]$ be a non-degenerate $\ell \times \ell$ matrix differential operator. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) if H is as in (2.7)*, then* $\deg(A) = 0$ *(i.e. A is invertible in* $\mathrm{Mat}_{\ell\times\ell}\mathcal{K}[\partial]$*);*

(b) $(\mathrm{Ker}\, H)^\perp = \mathrm{Im}\, H^* \subset \mathcal{K}^\ell$*;*

(c) $\dim_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{Ker}\, H) = \deg(H)$*.*

Proof. By (2.7), we have

$$\deg(H) = \deg(A) + \deg(B) \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{Ker}\, H) = \deg(B)\,.$$

It follows that $\deg(H) = \dim_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{Ker}\, H)$ if and only if $\deg(A) = 0$, i.e. conditions (a) and (c) are equivalent.

Next, let $\{F_1, \dots, F_s\}$ be a basis of $\mathrm{Ker}\, H$, and let $K_1(\partial), \dots, K_s(\partial) \in \mathrm{Mat}_{\ell\times\ell}\mathcal{K}[\partial]$ be as in the proof of Proposition 2.2. We claim that

$$\{F_1, \dots, F_s\}^\perp \subset \mathrm{Im}\, K_1^* \dots K_s^*\,. \tag{2.10}$$

To prove this, let $P = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_\ell \end{pmatrix} \in (\mathrm{Ker}\, H)^\perp$. We have $\int P \cdot F_1 = 0$, which is equivalent to

$$p_\ell = -\frac{f_1}{f_\ell}p_1 - \dots - \frac{f_{\ell-1}}{f_\ell}p_{\ell-1} + \Big(\partial + \frac{f_\ell'}{f_\ell}\Big)\widetilde{p_\ell}\,, \tag{2.11}$$

for some $\widetilde{p_\ell} \in \mathcal{K}$. But equation (2.11) is the same as saying that $P = K_1^*(\partial)P_1$, where $P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_{\ell-1} \\ \widetilde{p_\ell} \end{pmatrix}$. Next, we have:

$$\int P \cdot F_2 = 0 = \int (K_1^*(\partial)P_1) \cdot F_2 = \int P_1 \cdot K_1(\partial)F_2\,.$$

Recalling that $\mathrm{Ker}\, K_2 = \mathcal{C}K_1(\partial)F_2$, and repeating the same argument as above, we get $P_1 = K_2^*(\partial)P_2$, for some $P_2 \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$. Repeating the same argument s times, we conclude that $P = K_1^*(\partial)\dots K_s^*(\partial)P_s$ for some $P_s \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$. This proves (2.10).

The inclusion $(\operatorname{Ker} H)^\perp \supset \operatorname{Im} H^*$ in (b) always holds. Assuming that condition (a) holds, we have, by (2.10),

$$(\operatorname{Ker} H)^\perp = (\operatorname{Ker} B)^\perp \subset \operatorname{Im} B^* = \operatorname{Im} H^* ,$$

since, by (a), A is invertible in $\operatorname{Mat}_{\ell\times\ell}\mathcal{K}[\partial]$. Hence, (a) implies (b).

Finally, we prove that (b) implies (a). By (b), we have $(\operatorname{Ker} H)^\perp = \operatorname{Im} H^*$. We let, as in (2.7), $H = AB$, where A and B are such that $\operatorname{Ker} H = \operatorname{Ker} B$ and $\dim_{\mathcal{C}}(\operatorname{Ker} B) = \deg(B)$. Hence, condition (c) holds for B, and since (c) implies (b), we know that $(\operatorname{Ker} B)^\perp = \operatorname{Im} B^*$. It follows by the above observations that

$$\operatorname{Im} H^* = B^*(\operatorname{Im} A^*) = \operatorname{Im} B^* .$$

In other words, by elementary linear algebra, we have

$$\operatorname{Im} A^* + \operatorname{Ker} B^* = \mathcal{K}^\ell . \tag{2.12}$$

To conclude, we observe that, since B is non-degenerate, then $\operatorname{Ker} B^*$ is finite dimensional over $\mathcal{C}$, and therefore condition (2.12) implies that $\deg(A) = 0$, due to the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Any matrix differential operator $L \in \operatorname{Mat}_{\ell\times\ell}\mathcal{K}[\partial]$ which is non-degenerate and of degree $\deg(L) \geq 1$ has image $\operatorname{Im} L$ of infinite codimension over $\mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. First, we can reduce to the scalar case. Indeed, there exist invertible matrices $U, V \in \operatorname{Mat}_{\ell\times\ell}\mathcal{K}[\partial]$ and a diagonal matrix $D = \operatorname{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_\ell) \in \operatorname{Mat}_{\ell\times\ell}\mathcal{K}[\partial]$ such that $L = UDV$, see e.g. [CDSK14, Lem.3.1]. But then

$$\operatorname{codim}_{\mathcal{C}}(\operatorname{Im} L) = \operatorname{codim}_{\mathcal{C}}(\operatorname{Im} D) = \operatorname{codim}_{\mathcal{C}}(\operatorname{Im} d_1) + \cdots + \operatorname{codim}_{\mathcal{C}}(\operatorname{Im} d_\ell) .$$

For a scalar differential operator $L(\partial) \in \mathcal{K}[\partial]$ of order greater than or equal to 1, the claim follows by the simple observation that elements $(u^{(n)})^2$, for $n >> 0$, are linearly independent in $\mathcal{K}/(\operatorname{Im} L)$ (this follows by [BDSK09, Eq.(1.8)]). □

□

Remark 2.5. Proposition 2.2 holds over any differential field $\mathcal{K}$, while Corollary 2.3 holds over any differential field $\mathcal{K}$ satisfying Lemma 2.4.

Remark 2.6. It is not hard to show that, if $H \in \operatorname{Mat}_{\ell\times\ell}\mathcal{K}\partial]$ is such that $\delta C(H) = \operatorname{Ker} H$, then H is non-degenerate.

Proposition 2.7. *Any non-degenerate skew-adjoint matrix differential operator H with quasiconstant coefficients is strongly skew-adjoint over an algebra of differential functions of the form $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{V}$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is some differential field extension over $\mathcal{F}$ with the same subfield of constants, and partial derivatives $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^{(n)}}$ extended from $\mathcal{V}$ to $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ acting trivially on $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$.*

Proof. By assumption $H \in \mathrm{Mat}_{\ell\times\ell}\,\mathcal{F}[\partial]$. Hence, $\operatorname{Ker} H \subset \mathcal{F}^\ell$, and there exists a differential field extension $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ with the same subfield of constants $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Ker} H$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^\ell$ has dimension over $\mathcal{C}$ equal to $\deg(H)$. Therefore, H satisfies condition (c) of Corollary 2.3 over $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$. The same argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.2 and Corollary 2.3 shows that condition (c) of Corollary 2.3 implies condition (b), where $\mathcal{K}$ is replaced by $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ Hence, we obtain that $(\operatorname{Ker} H)^\perp \subset \operatorname{Im} H^*$ over $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$. We don't assume in this argument that H is skewadjoint, but if it is, we have $(\operatorname{Ker} H)^\perp = \operatorname{Im} H$ since, for skewadjoint operators, the opposite inclusion always holds. To conclude, we clearly have $C(H) = \{\int F \cdot u \,|\, F \in \operatorname{Ker} H\}$, so that $\operatorname{Ker} H = \delta C(H)$.

□

2.5 Hamiltonian equations and integrability

Recall that the *Hamiltonian partial differential equation* for the Poisson structure H on $\mathcal{V}$ and the Hamiltonian functional $\int h \in \mathcal{V}/\partial\mathcal{V}$ is the following evolution equation in $\mathbf{u} = (u_i)_{i\in I}$:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt} = H(\partial)\delta h\,. \tag{2.13}$$

An *integral of motion* for the Hamiltonian equation (2.13) is a local functional $\int f \in \mathcal{V}/\partial\mathcal{V}$ such that $\{\int h, \int f\}_H = 0$. Equation (2.13) is said to be *integrable* if there is an infinite sequence of linearly independent integrals of motion $\int h_0 = \int h, \int h_1, \int h_2, \dots$ in involution: $\{\int h_m, \int h_n\}_H = 0$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. In this case, we have an *integrable hierarchy* of Hamiltonian PDE:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt_n} = H(\partial)\delta h_n\ ,\quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\,.$$

2.6 Lenard-Magri scheme

One of the main tools to prove integrability is the following well-known result.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be an algebra of differential functions, and let $H_0, H_1 \in \mathrm{Mat}_{\ell\times\ell}\,\mathcal{V}[\partial]$ be skew-adjoint matrix differential operators. Denote by $\{\cdot\,,\,\cdot\}_0$, $\{\cdot\,,\,\cdot\}_1$ be the corresponding brackets on $\mathcal{V}/\partial\mathcal{V}$ (cf.* (2.3)*). Let $\{\int h_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \subset \mathcal{V}/\partial\mathcal{V}$ be an infinite sequence satisfying the following recurrence relation*

$$H_1(\partial)\delta h_{n-1} = H_0(\partial)\delta h_n\,, \tag{2.14}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ (where, for $n = 0$, we let $\int h_{-1} = 0$). Then

(a) The elements $\int h_n$ are integrals of motion in involution with respect to both brackets $\{\cdot\,,\,\cdot\}_{0,1}$:

$$\left\{\int h_m, \int h_n\right\}_{0,1} = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\,. \tag{2.15}$$

(b) Let $\{\int g_n\}_{n=0}^N \subset \mathcal{V}/\partial\mathcal{V}$ be any other sequence satisfying the same recurrence relations (2.14) *for $n = 0,\dots,N$. Then*

$$\left\{\int h_m, \int g_n\right\}_{0,1} = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\,. \tag{2.16}$$

(c) If H_0 is a Poisson structure over $\mathcal{V}$, then the evolutionary vector fields X_{P_n}, where $P_n = H_0\delta h_n$, commute.

Proof. A proof can be found in [Mag78] for part (a) and in [BDSK09] for part (b) (or both in [DSKT13]). For a proof of (c), see e.g. [BDSK09, Prop.1.2.4(c)]. □

The following result provides a way to solve, recursively, the Lenard-Magri relations (2.14), and therefore to prove integrability.

Theorem 2.9 ([BDSK09, DSKT13])**.** *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be an algebra of differential functions (which is assumed to be a domain), and let $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ be a normal extension of $\mathcal{V}$. Let $H_0, H_1 \in \mathrm{Mat}_{\ell\times\ell}\,\mathcal{V}[\partial]$ be two compatible Poisson structures on $\mathcal{V}$. Assume that H_0 is strongly skew-adjoint over $\mathcal{V}$. Let $\int h_0 \in C(H_0)$. Then:*

(a) There exists $\int h_1 \in \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}/\partial\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ such that $\delta h_1 \in \mathcal{V}^\ell$ and

$$H_1(\partial)\delta h_0 = H_0(\partial)\delta h_1\,, \tag{2.17}$$

if and only if

$$\left\{\int h_0, C(H_0)\right\}_1 = 0\,. \tag{2.18}$$

(b) Suppose, inductively, that $\int h_0 \in C(H_0)$, $\int h_1, \dots, \int h_N \in \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}/\partial\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$, *with* $\delta h_i \in \mathcal{V}^\ell$, *satisfy the recurrence relations* (2.14) *for every* $n = 0, \dots, N$ *(where we denote* $\int h_{-1} = 0$*). Then*

$$\left\{\int h_N, C(H_0)\right\}_1 \subset C(H_0)\,. \tag{2.19}$$

(c) Suppose, in addition, that

$$\left\{\int h_N, C(H_0)\right\}_1 = 0\,. \tag{2.20}$$

Then, there exists $\int h_{N+1} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}/\partial\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ *such that* $\delta h_{N+1} \in \mathcal{V}^\ell$ *and*

$$H_1(\partial)\delta h_N = H_0(\partial)\delta h_{N+1}\,. \tag{2.21}$$

(d) If (2.17) *holds and*

$$\{\delta h_0, \delta h_1\}^\perp \subset \operatorname{Im} H_0\,, \tag{2.22}$$

then the Lenard-Magri recursive equation (2.14) *has solution* $\int h_n \in \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}/\partial\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$, *with* $\delta h_n \in \mathcal{V}^\ell$, *for each* $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Proof. Condition (2.18) is equivalent to saying that $H_1(\partial)\delta h_0$ is orthogonal to $\frac{\delta}{\delta u}C(H_0)$ with respect to the form $(F, P) \mapsto \int F \cdot P$. Hence, by the strong skew-adjointness of H_0, this is in turn equivalent to $H_1(\partial)\delta h_0 \in \operatorname{Im}(H_0)$, proving (a). Part (b) is an immediate consequence of the compatibility of H_0 and H_1 and the assumption that $\int h_0 \in C(H_0)$. (More details can be found in [DSKT13].) The proof of (c) is the same as for part (a). Finally, claim (d) is [BDSK09, Cor.2.12]. □

The following special case will be used to prove integrability in the present paper.

Corollary 2.10. *Let* $\mathcal{V}$ *be an algebra of differential functions (which is assumed to be a domain), and let* $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ *be a normal extension of* $\mathcal{V}$. *Let* $H_0, H_1 \in \operatorname{Mat}_{\ell\times\ell}\mathcal{V}[\partial]$ *be two compatible Poisson structures on* $\mathcal{V}$. *Assume that* H_0 *is strongly skew-adjoint. Suppose that*

$$\dim_{\mathcal{C}} C(H_0) = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad C(H_0) \cap C(H_1) \neq 0\,. \tag{2.23}$$

Let $\{\int h_0, \int h_1\}$ *be a basis of* $C(H_0)$ *over* $\mathcal{C}$, *where* $\int h_0 \in C(H_0) \cap C(H_1)$. *Then, the Lenard-Magri recursive equation* (2.14) *has solution* $\int h_n \in \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}/\partial\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$, *with* $\delta h_n \in \mathcal{V}^\ell$, *for each* $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Proof. Equation (2.17) trivially holds, and condition (2.22) follows by the assumption that H_0 is strongly skew-adjoint. Hence, the claim follows form Theorem 2.9(d). □

Remark 2.11. Corollary 2.10 still holds if conditions (2.23) are replaced by the assumption that $C(H_0) \cap C(H_1)$ has codimension at most 1 in $C(H_0)$.

3 The 6-parameter family of compatible Poisson structures

Consider the Lie conformal algebra $L = \mathbb{F}[\partial]u \oplus \mathbb{F}[\partial]v$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$, with the λ-bracket

$$\{u_\lambda u\} = (\partial + 2\lambda)u \ , \quad \{u_\lambda v\} = (\partial + \lambda)v \ , \quad \{v_\lambda v\} = 0 \, .$$

(It is the semidirect product of the Virasoro conformal algebra and its representation on currents.) The space of two-cocycles on L with values in $\mathbb{F}$ is 5-dimensional, and the generic two-cocycle $\psi_\lambda : L \times L \to \mathbb{F}[\lambda]$ looks as follows

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi_\lambda(u,u) = \alpha\lambda + c\lambda^3 \ , \quad \psi_\lambda(u,v) = \beta\lambda - \gamma\lambda^2 \, , \\ &\psi_\lambda(v,u) = \beta\lambda + \gamma\lambda^2, \, \psi_\lambda(v,v) = \epsilon\lambda \, , \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \epsilon, c \in \mathbb{F}$. As a result, we get a central extension $\hat{L}$ of L corresponding to this two-cocycle. The corresponding λ-bracket on $\hat{L}$ give rise to the following 6-parameter family of (pairwise compatible) Poisson structures on $\mathbb{F}[u, v, u', v', \dots]$:

$$G^{(c,a,\gamma)}_{(\alpha,\beta,\epsilon)}(\partial) = \begin{pmatrix} a(u' + 2u\partial) + \alpha\partial + c\partial^3 & a\, v\partial + \beta\partial + \gamma\partial^2 \\ a\partial \circ v + \beta\partial - \gamma\partial^2 & \epsilon\partial \end{pmatrix} . \tag{3.1}$$

Throughout the rest of the paper, we will denote by $\mathcal{V}$ an algebra of differential functions extension of $\mathbb{F}[u, v, u', v', \dots]$, with subfields of constants and quasiconstant $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F} = \mathbb{F}$, unless otherwise specified. We also assume that $\mathcal{V}$ contains all the expressions that will appear in the text. For example, if we encounter an element of the form $\frac{u}{v}$, we assume that $\mathcal{V}$ is an extension of $\mathbb{F}[u, v^{\pm 1}, u', v', \dots]$.

3.1 The case $a \neq 0$

For $a \neq 0$ in (3.1), after rescaling we can set $a = 1$. Moreover, in this case after replacing u by $u - \frac{\alpha}{2}$ and v by $v - \beta$, we may assume that $\alpha = \beta = 0$.

Hence, without loss of generality, we get the following 3-parameter family of Poisson structures:

$$H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)}(\partial) = \begin{pmatrix} u' + 2u\partial + c\partial^3 & v\partial + \gamma\partial^2 \\ \partial \circ v - \gamma\partial^2 & \epsilon\partial \end{pmatrix} . \tag{3.2}$$

We introduce the following important quantities:

$$p = \epsilon c + \gamma^2 \in \mathbb{F} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \epsilon u - \frac{1}{2}v^2 - \gamma v' \in \mathcal{V} . \tag{3.3}$$

Lemma 3.1. *We have*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & -v - \gamma\partial \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)}(\partial) = \begin{pmatrix} Q' + 2Q\partial + p\partial^3 & 0 \\ \partial \circ v - \gamma\partial^2 & \epsilon\partial \end{pmatrix} . \tag{3.4}$$

The matrix $H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)}(\partial)$ is always non-degenerate, and its Dieudonnè determinant is

$$\det(H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)}) = \begin{cases} p\xi^4 & \text{for } p \neq 0 , \\ 2Q\xi^2 & \text{for } p = 0 . \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. Equation (3.4) is straightforward to check. For $\epsilon = 0$, the matrix $H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)}$ becomes lower triangular after a permutation of the rows, and in this case the determinant is easily computed. For $\epsilon \neq 0$, (3.5) follows immediately by (2.6). □

Lemma 3.2. *The kernel of the operator $H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)}(\partial)$ in (3.2) is, depending on the values of the parameters $c, \gamma, \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$, as follows:*

(i) If $p \neq 0$, then

$$\mathrm{Ker}(H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)}) = \mathbb{F}\delta v .$$

(ii) If $\epsilon = 0$, $\gamma = 0$, then, in $\mathcal{V} \supset R_2[v^{-1}]$ with field of constants $\mathbb{F}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Ker}(H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)}) = \mathbb{F}\delta v \oplus \mathbb{F}\delta\big(\frac{u}{v} - \frac{c}{2}\frac{(v')^2}{v^3}\big) .$$

(iii) For $\epsilon \neq 0$, $p = 0$, then, in $\mathcal{V} \supset R_2[Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}]$ with field of constants $\mathbb{F}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Ker}(H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)}) = \mathbb{F}\delta v \oplus \mathbb{F}\delta(Q^{\frac{1}{2}}) .$$

Proof. We consider first the case when $\epsilon = 0$, $\gamma \neq 0$. In this case, $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}$ lies in $\mathrm{Ker}(H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)})$ implies

$$f'' = \frac{1}{\gamma}(vf)'\,. \tag{3.6}$$

By a differential order consideration, it is immediate to see that, in this case, f must be a quasiconstant, and then, applying $\frac{\partial}{\partial v'}$ to both sides of (3.6), we get that $f = 0$. Then, the equation $H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)}(\partial)\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{pmatrix} = 0$ gives

$$g'' = -\frac{1}{\gamma}vg'\,. \tag{3.7}$$

Again, by a differential order consideration we get that g is quasiconstant, and then, applying $\frac{\partial}{\partial v}$ to both sides of (3.7), we conclude that $g' = 0$. Therefore, in this case, we have $f = 0$, $g \in \mathbb{F}$, which means that $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \text{const. } \delta v$.

Next, we consider the case when $\epsilon = \gamma = 0$. In this case, if $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}$ lies in $\mathrm{Ker}(H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)})$, we get $(vf)' = 0$, which means $f = \frac{\alpha}{v}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$. Then, the equation $H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)}(\partial)\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha}{v} \\ g \end{pmatrix} = 0$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} g' &= -\alpha\frac{u'}{v^2} + 2\alpha\frac{uv'}{v^3} - \alpha c\frac{1}{v}\Big(\frac{1}{v}\Big)''' \\ &= \alpha\Big(-\frac{u}{v^2} - c\frac{1}{v}\Big(\frac{1}{v}\Big)'' + \frac{1}{2}c\Big(\Big(\frac{1}{v}\Big)'\Big)^2\Big)' \\ &= \alpha\Big(\frac{\delta}{\delta v}\Big(\frac{u}{v} - \frac{c}{2}\frac{(v')^2}{v^3}\Big)\Big)'\,. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in this case, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \alpha\delta\Big(\frac{u}{v} - \frac{c}{2}\frac{(v')^2}{v^3}\Big) + \beta\delta v\,,$$

for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$. This proves part (ii).

For $\epsilon \neq 0$, the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & -v - \gamma\partial \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is invertible. Hence, by equation (3.4), $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}$ lies in $\mathrm{Ker}(H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)})$ if and only if

$$\begin{cases} Q'f + 2Qf' + pf''' = 0\,, \\ (vf)' - \gamma f'' + \epsilon g' = 0\,. \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

For $p \neq 0$, we can use a simple differential order consideration on the first equation of (3.8) to prove that f is quasiconstant, and then, looking at the coefficient of vv', we conclude that $f = 0$. Therefore, in this case, we have $f = 0$, $g \in \mathbb{F}$. This concludes the proof of part (i).

Finally, for $p = 0$ the first equation in (3.8) becomes

$$2Qf' + Q'f = 0\,, \tag{3.9}$$

which has solution

$$f = \frac{1}{2}\alpha\epsilon\, Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \alpha\frac{\delta}{\delta u}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\,, \tag{3.10}$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$. Substituting in the second equation of (3.8), we get

$$g = \frac{1}{2}\gamma\alpha(Q^{-\frac{1}{2}})' - \frac{1}{2}\alpha v Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \beta = \alpha\frac{\delta}{\delta v}Q^{\frac{1}{2}} + \beta\frac{\delta}{\delta v}v\,, \tag{3.11}$$

for $\beta \in \mathbb{F}$. In conclusion, $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \alpha\delta(Q^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \beta\delta v$, proving (iii). □

Corollary 3.3. *The operator $H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)}$ in* (3.2) *is strongly skew-adjoint over $\mathcal{V}$ if and only if $p = 0$.*

Proof. First, for $p \neq 0$ we have, by Lemma 3.2(i), $\operatorname{Ker} H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)} = \mathbb{F}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Hence, the orthogonal complement to $\operatorname{Ker} H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)}$ consists of all vectors of the form $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g' \end{pmatrix}$, with $f, g \in \mathcal{V}$. We need to prove that not all these elements lie in the image of $H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)}$. Suppose, by contradiction, that $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Im} H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)}$ for every $f \in \mathcal{V}$. Then, by Lemma 3.2, we have $f \in \operatorname{Im}(Q' + 2Q\partial + p\partial^3)$, which is impossible, since the operator $Q' + 2Q\partial + p\partial^3$ is not surjective on $\mathcal{V}$.

In the case $\epsilon = \gamma = 0$, $H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)}$ is strongly skewadjoint due to [DSKT13, Prop.5.1(c)]. Finally, consider the case $p = 0, \epsilon \neq 0$. By Lemma 3.2(iii), the kernel of $H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)}$ is spanned over $\mathbb{F}$ by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\epsilon}{2}Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{2}vQ^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\gamma}{2}\partial Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$. Hence, the orthogonal complement to $\operatorname{Ker} H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)}$ consists of elements of the form $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g' \end{pmatrix}$, where $f, g \in \mathcal{V}$ are such that

$$\frac{1}{2}\int Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\epsilon f - vg' - \gamma g''\Big) = 0\,.$$

In other words (by construction, $Q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is an invertible element of $\mathcal{V}$),

$$\epsilon f = vg' + \gamma g'' + 2Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial(Q^{\frac{1}{2}}h)\,,$$

for some $h \in \mathcal{V}$. Hence, we need to prove that, for every $g, h \in \mathcal{V}$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\epsilon}\Big(vg' + \gamma g'' + 2Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial(Q^{\frac{1}{2}}h)\Big) \\ g' \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Im} H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)}\,.$$

By Lemma 3.1, this is the same as proving that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & -v-\gamma\partial \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial(Q^{\frac{1}{2}}h) \\ g' \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Im}\begin{pmatrix} 2Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial\circ Q^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ \partial\circ v - \gamma\partial^2 & \epsilon\partial \end{pmatrix},$$

which is easily checked.

Also, $\operatorname{Ker} H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)} \subset \delta(\mathcal{V})$ by Lemma 3.2. Hence $H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)}$ is strongly skew-adjoint. □

Remark 3.4. The fact that $H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)}$ is strongly skew-adjoint over the differential field $\mathcal{K} = Frac(\mathcal{V})$ when $p = 0$ is immediate by Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 3.2.

3.2 The case $a = 0$

When $a = 0$ in (3.1), we have the following 5-parameter family of Poisson structures with constant coefficients:

$$H^{(c,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\epsilon)}(\partial) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha\partial + c\partial^3 & \beta\partial + \gamma\partial^2 \\ \beta\partial - \gamma\partial^2 & \epsilon\partial \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.12}$$

Corollary 3.5. *The operator $H^{(c,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\epsilon)}(\partial)$ in* (3.12) *is non-degenerate if and only if either $p \neq 0$ or $q := \alpha\epsilon - \beta^2 \neq 0$, and in this case it is strongly skew-adjoint (for some differential field $\mathcal{F}$ of quasiconstants with the field of constants $\mathcal{C}$).*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.7. □

As we did in the previous section, we compute the kernel of the matrix differential operator $H^{(c,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\epsilon)}$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let, as before, $p = c\epsilon + \gamma^2$ and $q = \alpha\epsilon - \beta^2$. The kernel of the operator $H^{(c,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\epsilon)}(\partial)$ in* (3.12) *is, depending on the values of the parameters $c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$, as follows. If $p = 0$, $q \neq 0$, then*

$$\operatorname{Ker} H^{(c,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\epsilon)}(\partial) = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{\delta v, \delta u\}\,.$$

If $p \neq 0$, then $\operatorname{Ker} H^{(c,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\epsilon)}(\partial)$ is 4-dimensional over $\mathcal{C}$ with basis δf_i, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, where $f_1 = v$, $f_2 = u$, and the elements f_3 and f_4 as follows:

(i) if $q\epsilon \neq 0$, then, taking $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{F}[\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}]$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{C}(\cos\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}x, \sin\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}x)$,

$$\left.\begin{aligned} f_3 &= \Big(\cos\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}x\Big)u - \Big(\frac{\beta}{\epsilon}\cos\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}x + \frac{\gamma}{\epsilon}\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}\sin\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}x\Big)v\,, \\ f_4 &= \Big(\sin\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}x\Big)u + \Big(\frac{\gamma}{\epsilon}\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}\cos\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}x - \frac{\beta}{\epsilon}\sin\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}x\Big)v \end{aligned}\right\};$$

(ii) if $\epsilon = 0, q \neq 0$, then, taking $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{F}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{F}(e^{\pm\frac{\beta}{\gamma}x})$,

$$f_3 = 2e^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}x}u + \Big(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} + \frac{c\beta}{\gamma^2}\Big)e^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}x}v\,, \quad f_4 = e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}x}v\,;$$

(iii) if $\epsilon \neq 0$, $q = 0$, then, taking $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{F}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{F}(x)$,

$$f_3 = -x^2u + \Big(\frac{\beta}{\epsilon}x^2 - 2\frac{\gamma}{\epsilon}x\Big)v\,, \quad f_4 = -xu + \frac{\beta}{\epsilon}xv\,;$$

(iv) if $\epsilon = q = 0$, then, taking $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{F}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{F}(x)$,

$$f_3 = -xu + \frac{\alpha}{2\gamma}x^2v\,, \quad f_4 = xv\,.$$

Proof. Straightforward. □

4 Bi-Hamiltonian hierarchies with H_0 of type (3.2)

In this and the next section we discuss the applicability of the Lenard-Magri scheme of integrability for a bi-Poisson structure (H_0, H_1) within the family (3.1). In order to use Theorem 2.9 we shall assume that H_0 is strongly skew-adjoint. This leads to the following two cases (cf. Corollaries 3.3 and 3.5), where, as before, $p = c\epsilon + \gamma^2$ and $q = \alpha\epsilon - \beta^2$:

A) the case when $H_0 = H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)}$, for $c, \gamma, \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $p = 0$, which will be discussed in the present section;

B) the case when $H_0 = H^{(c,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\epsilon)}$, for $c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$ with either $p \neq 0$, or $p = 0$ and $q \neq 0$, which will be discussed in Section 5.

Recall that the Lenard-Magri scheme associated to a bi-Poisson structure (H_0, H_1) only depends on the flag $\mathbb{F}H_0 \subset \mathbb{F}H_0 + \mathbb{F}H_1$, see e.g. [BDSK09]. Hence, in case (a), adding a constant multiple of H_0 to H_1, we may assume that H_1 is of type (3.12). Therefore, we consider the following bi-Poisson structures

$$H_0 = H_{(c,\gamma,\epsilon)}\,, \quad H_1 = H^{(c_1,\alpha_1,\beta_1,\gamma_1,\epsilon_1)}\,,$$

where $c, \gamma, \epsilon, c_1, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1, \epsilon_1 \in \mathbb{F}$ and $p = 0$. In this section

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{F}[u, v^{\pm 1}, u', v', u'', v'', \dots] \text{ if } \epsilon = 0\,, \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{F}[u, v, u', v', u'', v'', \dots, Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}] \text{ if } \epsilon \neq 0\,. \tag{4.2}$$

Let $\int h_0 = \int v$, and let $\int h_1 \in C(H_0)$ be equal to $\int \left(\frac{u}{v} - \frac{c}{2}\frac{(v')^2}{v^3}\right)$ or $\int Q^{\frac{1}{2}}$, depending on whether $\epsilon = 0$ or $\epsilon \neq 0$. By Lemma 3.2, $\{\int h_0, \int h_1\}$ is a basis of $C(H_0)$. Moreover, $\int h_0 = \int v \in C(H_1)$. Therefore, by Corollary 2.10, there exists an infinite sequence $\{\int h_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ satisfying the Lenard-Magri recursive conditions (2.14) for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We thus get an integrable hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian equations

$$\frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt_n} = H_0(\partial)\delta h_n\,, \tag{4.3}$$

provided that the integrals of motion $\int h_n$ are linearly independent, which follows from

Proposition 4.1. *If $H_1 \neq 0$, then the elements $\delta h_n = \begin{pmatrix} f_n \\ g_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}^2$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, are linearly independent.*

Proof. First, consider the case $\epsilon = 0$. In this case, the Lenard-Magri recursive formula (2.14) reads

$$\begin{aligned} &(u' + 2u\partial + c\partial^3)f_n + v\partial g_n = (\alpha_1\partial + c_1\partial^3)f_{n-1} + (\beta_1\partial + \gamma_1\partial^2)g_{n-1}\,,\\ &\partial(vf_n) = (\beta_1\partial - \gamma_1\partial^2)f_{n-1} + \epsilon_1\partial g_{n-1}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

The first two elements δh_0 and δh_1 form a basis of $\mathrm{Ker}(H_0)$, and they are

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_0 \\ g_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ g_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v^{-1} \\ -v^{-2}u + cv^{-3}v'' - \frac{3}{2}cv^{-4}(v')^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.5}$$

Hence, they lie in $\mathcal{V}^2$, where $\mathcal{V}$ is as in (4.1). In particular, by Corollary 3.3, H_0 is strongly skewadjoint over $\mathcal{V}$, and, by Corollary 2.10, the recursive equation (4.4) has solution $f_n, g_n \in \mathcal{V}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The algebra $\mathcal{V}$ in (4.1) is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded by the total polynomial degree, where $u, v, u', v', \dots$ have all degree $+1$, while v^{-1} has degree -1. We thus have $\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{V}[k]$, where $\mathcal{V}[k]$ is the subspace of homogeneous elements of degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. With respect to this grading, $\deg(f_1) = 0$, and $\deg(f_1) = \deg(g_1) = -1$. Furthermore, it follows by the recursive equations (4.4) and an easy induction on n, that $\deg(f_n), \deg(g_n) \leq -n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Let $f_n^0, g_n^0 \in \mathcal{V}[-n]$ be the homogeneous components in f_n and g_n of degree $-n$. Recalling (4.4), they satisfy the recursive equations:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} (u' + 2u\partial) f_n^0 + v\partial g_n^0 \\ \partial(v f_n^0) \end{array} \right) = H_1(\partial) \left(\begin{array}{c} f_{n-1}^0 \\ g_{n-1}^0 \end{array} \right). \tag{4.6}$$

We prove, by induction on $n \geq 2$, that $\left(\begin{array}{c} f_n^0 \\ g_n^0 \end{array} \right) \neq 0$. Since, by assumption, H_1 has constant coefficients and it is non-degenerate, it follows that $\operatorname{Ker} H_1 \subset \mathbb{F}^2$. Therefore, since $\left(\begin{array}{c} f_{n-1}^0 \\ g_{n-1}^0 \end{array} \right) \in \mathcal{V}[-n+1]^2 \backslash \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{V}[-n+1]^2 \cap \mathbb{F}^2 = 0$, it follows that $\left(\begin{array}{c} f_{n-1}^0 \\ g_{n-1}^0 \end{array} \right) \notin \operatorname{Ker} H_1$. In other words, the RHS of (4.6) is not zero, which implies that $\left(\begin{array}{c} f_n^0 \\ g_n^0 \end{array} \right) \neq 0$. In conclusion, $\max\{\deg(f_n), \deg(g_n)\} = -n$, which implies that the δh_n's are linearly independent.

Next, we consider the case $\epsilon \neq 0$, and we let $\mathcal{V}$ be as in (4.2). Note that, since $u = \frac{1}{\epsilon}(Q + \frac{1}{2}v^2 + \gamma v')$, we have

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{F}[u, v, u', v', \dots][Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}] = \mathbb{F}[Q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}, v, Q', v', Q'', v'', \dots],$$

so it can be considered as an algebra of differential functions in the new variables Q and v.

We start analyzing the recursive equation (2.14). Letting, as before, $\delta h_n = \left(\begin{array}{c} f_n \\ g_n \end{array} \right)$, we get, by equation (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\begin{array}{cc} Q' + 2Q\partial & 0 \\ \partial \circ v - \gamma\partial^2 & \epsilon\partial \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} f_n \\ g_n \end{array} \right) \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} \epsilon & -v - \gamma\partial \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} \alpha_1\partial + c_1\partial^3 & \beta_1\partial + \gamma_1\partial^2 \\ \beta_1\partial - \gamma_1\partial^2 & \epsilon_1\partial \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} f_{n-1} \\ g_{n-1} \end{array} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which gives the following system of differential equations

$$\begin{aligned}(Q'+2Q\partial)f_n &= \big(\epsilon(\alpha_1\partial+c_1\partial^3)-(v+\gamma\partial)(\beta_1\partial-\gamma_1\partial^2)\big)f_{n-1}\\ &\quad+\big(\epsilon(\beta_1\partial+\gamma_1\partial^2)-(v+\gamma\partial)\epsilon_1\partial\big)g_{n-1}\,,\\ (vf_n)'-\gamma f_n''+\epsilon g_n' &= (\beta_1\partial-\gamma_1\partial^2)f_{n-1}+\epsilon_1\partial g_{n-1}\,.\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

The second equation in (4.7) allows us to express g_n in terms of f_n, f_{n-1}, g_{n-1}, and their derivatives, up to adding a constant:

$$g_n=\frac{\gamma}{\epsilon}f_n'-\frac{1}{\epsilon}vf_n-\frac{\gamma_1}{\epsilon}f_{n-1}'+\frac{\beta_1}{\epsilon}f_{n-1}+\frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon}g_{n-1}+\text{ const}\,.\tag{4.8}$$

Using equation (4.8) with n replaced by $n-1$, we can rewrite the first equation in (4.7) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}(Q'+2Q\partial)f_n &= rf_{n-1}'''+Af_{n-1}'+\frac{1}{2}A'f_{n-1}+\frac{s}{\epsilon}(\gamma_1 f_{n-2}'''-\epsilon_1 g_{n-2}'')\\ &+\frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon}(-\gamma\beta_1+\gamma_1 v)f_{n-2}''+\frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon}(\epsilon\beta_1-\epsilon_1 v)g_{n-2}'+\frac{\beta_1}{\epsilon}(\epsilon\beta_1-\epsilon_1 v)f_{n-2}'\,,\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

where we introduced the following notation:

$$r=\epsilon c_1+2\gamma\gamma_1+c\epsilon_1\ ,\quad s=\gamma\epsilon_1-\epsilon\gamma_1\ ,\quad A=\epsilon\alpha_1-2\beta_1 v+\frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon}v^2+2\frac{s}{\epsilon}v'\,.\tag{4.10}$$

We define on $\mathcal{V}$ a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ grading letting $\deg(Q^k)=-k$, and letting all other variables $v,Q',v',Q'',v'',\dots$ have degree 0. Hence, $\mathcal{V}=\bigoplus_{k\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{V}[k]$, where

$$\mathcal{V}[k]=Q^{-k}\mathcal{V}[0]\ ,\quad \mathcal{V}[0]=\mathbb{F}[v,Q',v',Q'',v'',\dots]\,.$$

Note that $\mathcal{V}[0]$ is a differential subalgebra of $\mathcal{V}$. Moreover, the total derivative $\partial:\mathcal{V}\to\mathcal{V}$ decomposes as

$$\partial=\partial_0+\partial_1\,,$$

where $\partial_i:\mathcal{V}[k]\to\mathcal{V}[k+i]$, $i=0,1$. The action of ∂_0 and ∂_1 on $\mathcal{V}[k]$ is given by

$$\partial_0=Q^{-k}\partial\circ Q^k\ ,\quad \partial_1=-kQ^{-1}Q'\,.$$

We want to argue, using equations (4.8) and (4.9), that the degree of the elements f_n and g_n are strictly increasing in n (thus proving the linear independence of the δh_n's).

First, for $n=0$ and $n=1$ we have

$$f_0=0\ ,\quad g_0=1\ ,\quad f_1=\frac{1}{2}\epsilon Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\ ,\quad g_1=-\frac{1}{4}\gamma Q^{-\frac{3}{2}}Q'-\frac{1}{2}vQ^{-\frac{1}{2}}\,.$$

Hence, $\deg(f_1) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\deg(g_1)$ is 1 for $\gamma \neq 0$ and 0 for $\gamma = 0$.

For $r \neq 0$ it is easy to prove by induction on n that, for $n \geq 2$,

$$\deg(f_n) = \deg(f_{n-1}) + 3\ , \quad \deg(g_n) = \deg(f_n) + (1 - \delta_{\gamma,0})\,. \tag{4.11}$$

For $r = 0$ and $s \neq 0$, one can check, by induction on n, that, for $n \geq 2$,

$$\deg(f_n) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{3n-3}{2} & \text{for } n \text{ even} \\ \frac{3n-2}{2} & \text{for } n \text{ odd} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \deg(g_n) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{3n-1}{2} & \text{for } n \text{ even} \\ \frac{3n}{2} & \text{for } n \text{ odd} \end{cases}\,. \tag{4.12}$$

Furthermore, we have the following recursive equations for the highest degree components in f_n and g_n (we denote by $f[k]$ the component in $\mathcal{V}[k]$ of $f \in \mathcal{V}$). For n odd:

$$\begin{aligned} f_n\Big[\frac{3n-2}{2}\Big] &= -\frac{(3n-8)(3n-6)(3n-4)}{8(3n-3)}\frac{s^2}{\epsilon^2}(Q')^2 Q^{-3} f_{n-2}\Big[\frac{3n-8}{2}\Big]\,, \\ g_n\Big[\frac{3n}{2}\Big] &= -\frac{(3n-2)}{2}\frac{\gamma}{\epsilon}Q'Q^{-1} f_n\Big[\frac{3n-2}{2}\Big]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

and for n even:

$$\begin{aligned} f_n\Big[\frac{3n-3}{2}\Big] &= \frac{3n-5}{2(3n-4)}Q^{-1} f_{n-1}\Big[\frac{3n-5}{2}\Big] \\ &-\frac{(3n-9)(3n-7)(3n-5)}{8(3n-4)}\frac{s^2}{\epsilon^2}(Q')^2 Q^{-3} f_{n-2}\Big[\frac{3n-9}{2}\Big]\,, \\ g_n\Big[\frac{3n-1}{2}\Big] &= -\frac{(3n-3)}{2}\frac{\gamma}{\epsilon}Q'Q^{-1} f_n\Big[\frac{3n-3}{2}\Big]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Since, by assumption, $s \neq 0$, we immediately get from the first recursive formula in (4.13) that $f_n\Big[\frac{3n-2}{2}\Big] \neq 0$ for every odd n, namely $\deg(f_n) = \frac{3n-2}{2}$ for n odd (which suffices for the proof of integrability). Actually, by exploiting more the recursions (4.13) and (4.14), one can prove that $f_n\Big[\frac{3n-3}{2}\Big] \neq 0$ for even n as well. In conclusion, we get

$$\deg(f_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{3n-3}{2} & \text{for } n \text{ even} \\ \frac{3n-2}{2} & \text{for } n \text{ odd} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \deg(g_n) = \deg(f_n) + (1 - \delta_{\gamma,0})\,. \tag{4.15}$$

Finally, we consider the case when $s = r = 0$. It is easy to check, by induction on n, that, for $n \geq 2$,

$$\deg(f_n) \leq n - \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \deg(g_n) \leq n + \frac{1}{2}\,. \tag{4.16}$$

Using (4.16), we can find recursive equations for the highest degree components of f_n and g_n:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_n\Big[n-\frac{1}{2}\Big] &= \frac{n-\frac{3}{2}}{2(n-1)} A Q^{-1} f_{n-1}\Big[n-\frac{3}{2}\Big], \\
g_n\Big[n+\frac{1}{2}\Big] &= -\Big(n-\frac{1}{2}\Big)\frac{\gamma}{\epsilon} Q' Q^{-1} f_n\Big[n-\frac{1}{2}\Big].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}
$$

From the first recursion (4.17) we immediately get that $f_n\Big[n-\frac{1}{2}\Big] \neq 0$ unless $A=0$, and using this we obtain that equalities in (4.16) hold, unless $A=0$. To conclude, we just observe that $s=r=A=0$ implies that $H_1=0$. □

We can compute explicitly the first non-trivial equation of the hierarchy $\frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt_2} = P_2 = H_1(\partial)\delta h_1$, and the conserved density h_2, which is a solution of $H_0(\partial)\delta h_2 = P_2$, in both cases, when $\epsilon = 0$ or $\epsilon \neq 0$. Since $\int h_0 = \int v \in C(H_0)\cap C(H_1)$, we construct the Lenard-Magri scheme starting with $\int h_1 \in C(H_0)$ equal to $\int(\frac{u}{v} - \frac{c}{2}\frac{(v')^2}{v^3})$ in the case $\epsilon = 0$ and $\int Q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in the case $\epsilon \neq 0$.

Case A1: $\epsilon = 0$, and $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{F}[u, v^{\pm 1}, u', v', u'', v'' \dots]$. In this case, the first non-zero equation is:

(4.18)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{du}{dt_2} &= c\gamma_1\frac{v^{(iv)}}{v^3} - 9c\gamma_1\frac{v'''v'}{v^4} - c_1\frac{v'''}{v^2} + c\beta_1\frac{v'''}{v^3} - 6c\gamma_1\frac{v''^2}{v^4} + 42c\gamma_1\frac{v''v'^2}{v^5} \\
&\quad + 6c_1\frac{v''v'}{v^3} - 6c\beta_1\frac{v''v'}{v^4} + 2\gamma_1\frac{v''u}{v^3} - \gamma_1\frac{u''}{v^2} - 30c\gamma_1\frac{v'^4}{v^6} - 6c_1\frac{v'^3}{v^4} \\
&\quad + 6c\beta_1\frac{v'^3}{v^5} - 6\gamma_1\frac{v'^2u}{v^4} + 4\gamma_1\frac{u'v'}{v^3} - \alpha_1\frac{v'}{v^2} + 2\beta_1\frac{v'u}{v^3} - \beta_1\frac{u'}{v^2}, \\
\frac{dv}{dt_2} &= c\epsilon_1\frac{v'''}{v^3} - 6c\epsilon_1\frac{v''v'}{v^4} + \gamma_1\frac{v''}{v^2} + 6c\epsilon_1\frac{v'^3}{v^5} - 2\gamma_1\frac{v'^2}{v^3} - \beta_1\frac{v'}{v^2} \\
&\quad + 2\epsilon_1\frac{v'u}{v^3} - \epsilon_1\frac{u'}{v^2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and the conserved density h_2 is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_2 = &-\frac{c^2\epsilon_1}{2}\frac{v''^2}{v^5} + \frac{15c^2\epsilon_1}{8}\frac{v'^4}{v^7} - \frac{c\gamma_1}{2}\frac{v'^3}{v^5} + \frac{c_1}{2}\frac{v'^2}{v^3} - \frac{3c\beta_1}{2}\frac{v'^2}{v^4} \\
&+\frac{5c\epsilon_1}{2}\frac{v'^2}{v^5} - c\epsilon_1\frac{v'u'}{v^4} + \gamma_1\frac{v'u}{v^3} - \frac{\alpha_1}{2v} + \beta_1\frac{u}{v^2} - \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}\frac{u^2}{v^3}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Case A2: $\epsilon \neq 0$, and $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{F}[u, v, u', v', u'', v'', \dots, Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}]$. In this case, the first non-zero equation is:

(4.19)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{du}{dt_2} &= Q^{-\frac{3}{2}}\Big(\gamma(\gamma\gamma_1+\epsilon c_1)v^{(iv)} + \epsilon c_1 v'''v + \gamma^2\beta_1 v''' - \epsilon(\gamma\gamma_1+\epsilon c_1)u''' \\
&\quad + (\gamma\gamma_1+3\epsilon c_1)v''v' - \gamma_1 v''v^2 + \gamma\epsilon\alpha_1 v'' + \epsilon\gamma_1 u''v - \gamma\epsilon\beta_1 u'' \\
&\quad - 3\gamma_1 v'^2 v + \gamma\beta_1 v'^2 + 2\epsilon\gamma_1 v'u' - \beta_1 v'v^2 + \epsilon\alpha_1 v'v + \epsilon\beta_1 u'v \\
&\quad - \epsilon^2\alpha_1 u'\Big) + \frac{3}{2}Q^{-\frac{5}{2}}\Big(3\gamma(\gamma\gamma_1+\epsilon c_1)\big(\gamma v'''v'' + v'''v'v - \epsilon v'''u'\big) \\
&\quad + \gamma(2\gamma\gamma_1+3\epsilon c_1)v''^2 v + \gamma^3\beta_1 v''^2 - 3\gamma(\gamma\gamma_1+\epsilon c_1)\big(\epsilon v''u'' - v''v'^2\big) \\
&\quad + (\gamma\gamma_1+3\epsilon c_1)v''v'v^2 + 2\gamma^2\beta_1 v''v'v - \epsilon(\gamma\gamma_1+3\epsilon c_1)v''u'v \\
&\quad - 2\gamma^2\epsilon\beta_1 v''u' - 3(\gamma\gamma_1+\epsilon c_1)\big(\epsilon u''v'v - \epsilon^2 u''u' - v'^3 v + \epsilon v'^2 u'\big) \\
&\quad - \gamma_1 v'^2 v^3 + \gamma\beta_1 v'^2 v^2 + 2\epsilon\gamma_1 v'u'v^2 - 2\gamma\epsilon\beta_1 v'u'v - \epsilon^2\gamma_1 u'^2 v \\
&\quad + \gamma\epsilon^2\beta_1 u'^2\Big) + \frac{15}{4}Q^{-\frac{7}{2}}\big(\gamma\gamma_1+\epsilon c_1\big)\Big(\gamma^3 v''^3 + 3\gamma^2 v''^2 v'v \\
&\quad - 3\gamma^2\epsilon v''^2 u' + 3\gamma v''v'^2 v^2 - 6\gamma\epsilon v''v'u'v + 3\gamma\epsilon^2 v''u'^2 + v'^3 v^3 \\
&\quad - 3\epsilon v'^2 u'v^2 + 3\epsilon^2 v'u'^2 v - \epsilon^3 u'^3\Big) - 2Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\gamma_1 v'' + \beta_1 v'\Big), \\
\frac{dv}{dt_2} &= Q^{-\frac{3}{2}}\Big(\gamma(\gamma\epsilon_1-\epsilon\gamma_1)v''' - \epsilon\gamma_1 v''v + \gamma\epsilon\beta_1 v'' - \epsilon(\gamma\epsilon_1-\epsilon\gamma_1)u'' \\
&\quad + (\gamma\epsilon_1-\epsilon\gamma_1)v'^2 - \epsilon_1 v'v^2 + \epsilon\beta_1 v'v + \epsilon\epsilon_1 u'v - \epsilon^2\beta_1 u'\Big) \\
&\quad + \frac{3}{2}Q^{-\frac{5}{2}}\big(\gamma\epsilon_1-\epsilon\gamma_1\big)\Big(\gamma^2 v''^2 + 2\gamma v''v'v - 2\gamma\epsilon v''u' + v'^2 v^2 \\
&\quad - 2\epsilon v'u'v + \epsilon^2 u'^2\Big) - 2Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\epsilon_1 v'\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

and the conserved density h_2 is

$$\begin{aligned}
h_2 &= \frac{1}{4}Q^{-\frac{5}{2}}\Big(\frac{1}{4}Q^{\frac{-5}{2}}\Big(\gamma^2(\epsilon c_1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{\epsilon}\epsilon_1 + 2\gamma\gamma_1)v''^2 + (\frac{\gamma^2}{\epsilon}\epsilon_1 - 2\gamma\gamma_1 - \epsilon c_1)v'^2 v^2 \\
&\quad -2(\gamma^2\epsilon_1 - 2\epsilon\gamma\gamma_1 - \epsilon^2 c_1)v'u'v + \epsilon(\gamma^2\epsilon_1 - 2\epsilon\gamma\gamma_1 - \epsilon^2 c_1)u'^2\Big) \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{3}Q^{\frac{-3}{2}}\Big((\epsilon^2 c_1 - \gamma^2\epsilon_1 + 2\epsilon\gamma\gamma_1)u'' - \epsilon c_1 v''v + (\frac{\gamma^2}{\epsilon}\epsilon_1 - 2\gamma\gamma_1 - \epsilon c_1)v'^2 \\
&\quad +(2\gamma_1 - \frac{\gamma}{\epsilon}\epsilon_1)v'v^2 + (\gamma\epsilon_1 - 2\epsilon\gamma_1)u'v\Big) + \frac{1}{3}Q^{\frac{-1}{2}}\Big((10\gamma_1 - 8\frac{\gamma}{\epsilon}\epsilon_1)v' \\
&\quad -3\frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon}v^2 + 6\beta_1 v - 3\epsilon\alpha_1\Big) + \frac{2}{3}\frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.2. Since in Case A1 the algebra of differential functions $\mathcal{V}[\log v]$ is normal (where $\mathcal{V}$ is as in (4.1)), all conserved densities h_n can be chosen in this algebra. It is not difficult to show that actually they can be chosen

in $\mathcal{V}$. We conjecture that in Case A2 all conserved densities can be chosen in $\mathcal{V}$ as well (where $\mathcal{V}$ is as in (4.2)).

5 Bi-Hamiltonian hierarchies corresponding to H_0 of type (3.12)

In this section we study the applicability of the Lenard-Magri scheme in case B, when $H_0 = H^{(c,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\epsilon)}$ is as in (3.12), with $c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$, such that $(p, q) \neq (0, 0)$ (by Corollary 3.5, exactly in these cases H_0 is strongly skew-adjoint). The case when both Poisson structures H_0 and H_1 have constant coefficients is not interesting (the Lenard-Magri scheme in this case is confined within quasiconstants). Hence, we only consider the case when $H_1 = H_{(c_1,\gamma_1,\epsilon_1)}$, is as in (3.2), with $c_1, \gamma_1, \epsilon_1 \in \mathbb{F}$.

Recall that the space of Casimirs $C(H_0)$ is a Lie algebra with respect to the Lie bracket $\{\cdot\,,\,\cdot\}_1$. We can use Lemma 3.6 to describe this Lie algebra explicitly.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $p \neq 0$. Consider the basis elements $\int f_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, of $C(H_0)$, as in Lemma 3.6. Then $\int f_1$ is a central element of the Lie algebra $(C(H_0), \{\cdot\,,\,\cdot\}_1)$, and the Lie brackets among all other basis elements are as follows:*

(i) If $q\epsilon \neq 0$, then

$$\{\int f_2, \int f_3\}_1 = -\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}\int f_4\,, \quad \{\int f_2, \int f_4\}_1 = \sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}\int f_3\,,$$
$$\{\int f_3, \int f_4\}_1 = -\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}\frac{\beta}{\epsilon}\int f_1 + \sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}\int f_2\,.$$

(ii) If $\epsilon = 0, q \neq 0$, then

$$\{\int f_2, \int f_3\}_1 = \frac{\beta}{\gamma}\int f_3\,, \quad \{\int f_2, \int f_4\}_1 = -\frac{\beta}{\gamma}\int f_4\,,$$
$$\{\int f_3, \int f_4\}_1 = -2\frac{\beta}{\gamma}\int f_1\,.$$

(iii) If $\epsilon \neq 0$, $q = 0$, then

$$\{\int f_2, \int f_3\}_1 = -\frac{\gamma}{\epsilon}\int f_1 + 2\int f_4\,, \quad \{\int f_2, \int f_4\}_1 = \frac{\beta}{\epsilon}\int f_1 - \int f_2\,,$$
$$\{\int f_3, \int f_4\}_1 = \int f_3\,.$$

(iv) If $\epsilon = q = 0$, then

$$\{\int f_2, \int f_3\}_1 = -\int f_2 + \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}\int f_4 \ , \quad \{\int f_2, \int f_4\}_1 = \int f_1 \, ,$$
$$\{\int f_3, \int f_4\}_1 = -\int f_4 \, .$$

Consequently, the center of the Lie algebra $C(H_0)$ is spanned by $\int v$.

Proof. Straightforward. □

Remark 5.2. If $p = 0, q \neq 0$, then by Lemma 3.6 the Lie algebra $C(H_0)$ is 2-dimensional abelian.

By Theorem 2.9(a), for $\int h_0 \in C(H_0)$ the first step (2.18) of Lenard-Magri scheme has a solution $\int h_1 \in \mathcal{V}/\partial\mathcal{V}$ if and only if $\int h_0$ lies in the center of the Lie algebra $(C(H_0), \{\cdot\,, \cdot\}_1)$. Therefore, if $p \neq 0$, by Lemma 5.1, we should start the Lenard-Magri scheme with $\int h_0 = \int v$. Since δv lies in the kernel of $H_1(\partial)$, by (2.17) we get that $\int h_1 \in C(H_0)$, and therefore, by Theorem 2.9(a), we can proceed to the next step only if $\int h_1 \in \mathbb{F}\int v$. Hence, in this case, the Lenard-Magri scheme is confined in $\mathbb{F}\int v$ at each step and it does not produce any integrable hierarchy.

Let us then consider the case when $p = 0$, $q \neq 0$. We take $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{F}[u, v, u', v', \dots]$. We can choose in this case $\int h_0 = \int v$ and $\int h_1 = \int u$ and, by Corollary 2.10, there exists an infinite sequence $\{\int h_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ satisfying the Lenard-Magri recursive conditions (2.14) for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We thus get an integrable hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian equations as in (4.3), provided that the integrals of motion $\int h_n$ are linearly independent.

Linear independence in this case is easy to prove by considering the total polynomial degree. Indeed, the first terms in the recurrence $H_0\delta h_n = H_1\delta h_{n-1}$ are $\delta h_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\delta h_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$\delta h_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon u - \gamma v' - \beta v \\ -\beta u + \alpha v + \gamma u' + c v'' \end{pmatrix} ,$$

of total degrees 0, 0, and 1 respectively. Note that the homogeneous component of $\delta h_n = \begin{pmatrix} f_n \\ g_n \end{pmatrix}$ of highest total degree satisfies the recurrence equation

$$H_0(\partial) \begin{pmatrix} f_n^0 \\ g_n^0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u' + 2u\partial & v\partial \\ \partial \circ v & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_{n-1}^0 \\ g_{n-1}^0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Since H_0 is a non-degenerate constant coefficients matrix differential operator, it follows that, up to adding constant multiples of $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ (namely elements of the kernel of H_1 in $\mathbb{F}[u, v, u', v', \dots]^2$), f_n^0 and g_n^0 are homogeneous of degree $n-1$. In conclusion, $\delta h_n = \begin{pmatrix} f_n \\ g_n \end{pmatrix}$ has both components of total polynomial degree $n-1$. Therefore, the elements δh_n, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, are linearly independent.

The first non-zero equation of the hierarchy starting with $\int h_1 = \int u$, is x-translation symmetry: $\frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt_2} = \begin{pmatrix} u' \\ v' \end{pmatrix}$. We compute explicitly the conserved density h_2 and the corresponding first non-trivial equation of the hierarchy $\frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt_3} = H_1(\partial)\delta h_2$, for all values of the parameters $c, \epsilon, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, c_1, \gamma_1, \epsilon_1 \in \mathbb{F}$ satisfying $p\,(= c\epsilon + \gamma^2) = 0$ and $q\,(= \epsilon\alpha - \beta^2) \neq 0$. We have

$$h_2 = -\frac{c}{2}v'^2 - \gamma v'u + \frac{\alpha}{2}v^2 - \beta uv + \frac{\epsilon}{2}u^2\,,$$

and the first non-trivial equation is

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{du}{dt_3} &= (c\gamma_1 - c_1\gamma)v^{(iv)} + cv'''v - \beta c_1 v''' + (\epsilon c_1 + \gamma\gamma_1)u''' \\
&\quad - 2\gamma v''u + \alpha\gamma_1 v'' + \gamma u''v - \beta\gamma_1 u'' - \gamma v'u' + \alpha v'v \\
&\quad - 2\beta v'u - 2\beta vu' + 3\epsilon u'u\,, \qquad (5.1) \\
\frac{dv}{dt_3} &= (c\epsilon_1 + \gamma\gamma_1)v''' - \gamma v''v + \beta\gamma_1 v'' + (\gamma\epsilon_1 - \epsilon\gamma_1)u'' - \gamma v'^2 \\
&\quad - 2\beta v'v + \epsilon v'u + \epsilon vu' + \alpha\epsilon_1 v' - \beta\epsilon_1 u'\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.3. The $(\epsilon_1, \gamma_1) = (0, 0)$ subcase of H_1 in the present section coincides with H_0 of the Case A1 in Section 4. So for these particular values of the parameters we have two-sided Lenard-Magri scheme, the first side of which is the above one restricted with $(\epsilon_1, \gamma_1) = (0, 0)$, and the second side is the one in Case A1 of Section 4 (with subscripted parameters unsubscripted and unsubscripted parameters subscripted to be consistent with the present section).

Remark 5.4. For $\epsilon_1 \neq 0$, H_1 of the present section is H_0 in Case A2 of Section 4. Letting, as in case Case A2 of Section 4, $c = \frac{-\gamma^2}{\epsilon}$, we again get a two-sided Lenard-Magri scheme.

6 Concluding remarks

The equation in Case A1 of Section 4 is triangular for $\epsilon_1 = 0$, in case of which a solution reduces to succesive solutions of scalar equations. For $\epsilon_1 \neq 0$ the equation in the variables w, v where $w = u - \frac{\beta_1}{\epsilon_1} v - \frac{\gamma_1}{\epsilon_1} v'$ becomes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{dw}{dt} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon_1}\Big(\big(c_1\epsilon_1 + \gamma_1^2\big)\Big(\frac{1}{v}\Big)''' + \big(\alpha_1\epsilon_1 - \beta_1^2\big)\Big(\frac{1}{v}\Big)'\Big), \\
\frac{dv}{dt} &= -c\epsilon_1 \frac{1}{v}\Big(\frac{1}{v}\Big)''' - \epsilon_1\Big(\frac{w}{v^2}\Big)' .
\end{aligned} \tag{6.1}
$$

For $c = 0$ this is, up to a renaming of coefficients, the Harry Dym type equation considered in [AF88, BDSK09]. For $c \neq 0$ this equation seems to be new.

The equation in Case A2 of Section 4 in the variables w, v where $w = Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, becomes:

(6.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{dw}{dt} &= (\gamma^2\epsilon_1 - 2\epsilon\gamma\gamma_1 - \epsilon^2 c_1) w^3 w''' + (\epsilon\gamma_1 - \gamma\epsilon_1)(w^4 v'' + 2w^3 w' v') \\
&\quad -\epsilon_1(w^4 v v' + w^3 w' v^2) + \epsilon\beta_1(w^4 v' + 2w^3 w' v) - \epsilon^2\alpha_1 w^3 w', \\
\frac{dv}{dt} &= 2(\gamma\epsilon_1 - \epsilon\gamma_1) w'' - 2\epsilon_1(w' v + w v') + 2\epsilon\beta_1 w' .
\end{aligned}
$$

This equation seems to be new for $\gamma^2\epsilon_1 - 2\epsilon\gamma\gamma_1 - \epsilon^2 c_1 \neq 0$. In the case $\gamma^2\epsilon_1 - 2\epsilon\gamma\gamma_1 - \epsilon^2 c_1 = 0$, the remaining second order equation is an equation considered in [MSY87]. Further reduction with $\gamma_1 = \frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon}\gamma$, gives a first order equation which corresponds to those considered in [GN90].

Recall that in Section 5 the Lenard-Magri scheme works if $p\,(= c\epsilon + \gamma^2) = 0$ and $q\,(= \alpha\epsilon - \beta^2) \neq 0$. If $c = \gamma = 0$, $\epsilon c_1 \neq 0$, the equation in the variables $w = \frac{1}{q}\big(\epsilon^2 c_1 u - \beta\epsilon c_1 v + \frac{1}{3}\beta^2\epsilon_1 c_1\big)$, $z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\big(\epsilon c_1 v - \beta\epsilon_1 c_1\big)$, $\tau = \frac{\epsilon^2 c_1^2}{q^{3/2}} t$, $y = \frac{1}{\epsilon c_1\sqrt{q}} x$, becomes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{dw}{d\tau} &= w''' + 3ww' + zz' + \gamma_1 z'', \\
\frac{dz}{d\tau} &= (wz)' + Kz' - \gamma_1 w'' ,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}
$$

where $K = \frac{1}{3q}\epsilon_1 c_1(3\alpha\epsilon - 4\beta^2)$, and primes on w and z denote derivative with respect to y. The parameters K and γ_1 are essential parameters, i.e. they cannot be removed by rescaling of the variables in the equation. The 2-parameter family of equations (6.3), which seems to be new, turns into the Fokas-Liu equation [FL96] for $\gamma_1 = 0$, into the Kupershmidt equaiton [Kup85b] for $K = 0$, and into the Ito equation [Ito82] for $\gamma_1 = K = 0$.

In the cases $c=0$, $\epsilon\neq 0$, $c_1=0$, and $c=0$, $\epsilon=0$, upon a potentiation $u=w'$, equation (5.1) becomes second order of type considered in [MSY87]. The case $c=0$, $\epsilon=0$, $c_1=0$ corresponds, by a similar transformation as above, to the Kaup-Broer equation [Kup85a].

For $c\neq 0$, and $\gamma(c\epsilon_1+\gamma\gamma_1)(c^2\epsilon_1+2c\gamma\gamma_1-c_1\gamma^2)\neq 0$, we transform equation (5.1) by the change of variable $u=m_4w'-m_2z', v=-m_3w+m_1z$, and rescaling $y=k_xx$, $\tau=k_tt$ with constant transformation parameters $m_1=1, m_2=\frac{c}{\gamma}, m_3=1, m_4=\frac{\gamma c_1-c\gamma_1}{c\epsilon_1+\gamma\gamma_1}, k_x=\frac{-\gamma}{c\epsilon_1+\gamma\gamma_1}, k_t=\frac{c(c\epsilon_1+\gamma\gamma_1)^4}{\gamma^2(c^2\epsilon_1+2c\gamma\gamma_1-\gamma^2c_1)^2}$. As a result, we obtain:

(6.4)
$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dw}{d\tau} &= w'''+\widetilde{\beta}z''-\frac{3}{2}w'^2+2\widetilde{\beta}ww'-2\widetilde{\beta}w'z+\widetilde{\alpha}w'-\widetilde{\alpha}z'+\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\alpha}(w-z)^2\,,\\ \frac{dz}{d\tau} &= w''w-w''z+K\widetilde{\beta}w''-\frac{1}{2}w'^2-w'z'+K\widetilde{\alpha}w'+2\widetilde{\beta}wz'\\ &\quad -2\widetilde{\beta}zz'-K\widetilde{\alpha}z'+\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\alpha}(w-z)^2\,,\end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{\alpha}=\frac{c(c\epsilon_1+\gamma\gamma_1)^4}{\gamma^2(c^2\epsilon_1+2c\gamma\gamma_1-\gamma^2c_1)^2}\alpha$, $\widetilde{\beta}=\frac{c(c\epsilon_1+\gamma\gamma_1)^2}{\gamma^2(c^2\epsilon_1+2c\gamma\gamma_1-\gamma^2c_1)}\beta$, $K=\frac{\gamma^2(c_1\epsilon_1+\gamma_1^2)}{(c\epsilon_1+\gamma\gamma_1)^2}$. In the above equation, if $c_1\epsilon_1+\gamma_1^2=0$, switching the roles of H_0 and H_1 we get a two-sided Lenard-Magri scheme, according to Remarks 5.3 and 5.4, depending on whether $\epsilon_1=0$ or $\epsilon_1\neq 0$, respectively. In the former case ($\epsilon_1=\gamma_1=0$) the second side is related to the Hary Dym type of equation. In the latter case ($\epsilon_1\gamma_1\neq 0$), and in the case $K\neq 0$, equation (6.4) seems to be new.

For $c\neq 0$, $\gamma=0$, $\epsilon_1\neq 0$, the same transformation as above with $m_1=0$, $m_2=c\epsilon_1$, $m_3=c\epsilon_1$, $m_4=-c\gamma_1$, $k_x=-c$, $k_t=\frac{1}{c^6\epsilon_1^3}$ gives:

(6.5)
$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dw}{d\tau} &= w'''+\widetilde{\beta}z''+2\widetilde{\beta}ww'+\widetilde{\alpha}w',\\ \frac{dz}{d\tau} &= w''w+K\widetilde{\beta}w''-\frac{1}{2}w'^2+2\widetilde{\beta}wz'+\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\alpha}w^2\,,\end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{\alpha}=\frac{\alpha}{c^3}$, $\widetilde{\beta}=\frac{\beta}{c^2}$, $K=\frac{c_1\epsilon_1+\gamma_1^2}{\epsilon_1^2}$. Since $\epsilon_1\neq 0$ here, we have a second side of Lenard-Magri scheme by Remark 5.4 if $K=0$. This equation seems to be new.

For $c\neq 0$, $\epsilon_1=\frac{\gamma\gamma_1}{c}$, $\gamma(c\gamma_1-c_1\gamma)\neq 0$ the transformation with $m_1=\frac{\gamma}{c}(\gamma c_1-c\gamma_1)$, $m_2=\gamma c_1-c\gamma_1$, $m_3=0$, $m_4=\frac{\gamma}{c(\gamma c_1-c\gamma_1)}$, $k_x=\frac{\gamma^2}{c}$, $k_t=$

$\frac{c^6}{\gamma^9(c\gamma_1-\gamma c_1)^3}$ gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dw}{d\tau} &= w''' + \widetilde{\beta} z'' + \frac{3}{2} w'^2 + 2\widetilde{\beta} z w' - \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\alpha} z^2, \\ \frac{dz}{d\tau} &= w'' w + K\widetilde{\beta} w'' + w' z' + 2\widetilde{\beta} z z' - K\widetilde{\alpha} z' \,, \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

where $\widetilde{\alpha} = \frac{c^3}{\gamma^6}\alpha$, $\widetilde{\beta} = \frac{c^2}{\gamma^4}$, $K = \frac{\gamma^2\gamma_1}{c(c\gamma_1-\gamma c_1)}$.

In the case $c \neq 0$, $\epsilon_1 = \frac{-c_1\gamma^2}{c^2}$, $\gamma_1 = \frac{\gamma c_1}{c}$, upon potentiation $u = w'$, equation (5.1) becomes a second order equation of type considered in [MSY87].

References

[AF88] Antonowicz M., Fordy A.P., *Coupled Harry Dym equations with multi-Hamiltonian structures*, J. Phys. A **21** (1988), no. 5, L269-L275.

[ADCKP88] Arbarello E., De Concini C., Kac V.G., Procesi C., *Moduli spaces of curves and representation theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. **117** (1988), n.1, 1-36.

[BDSK09] Barakat A., De Sole A., Kac V.G., *Poisson vertex algebras in the theory of Hamiltonian equations*, Jpn. J. Math. **4** (2009), no. 2, 141-252.

[CDSK13] Carpentier S., De Sole A., Kac V.G., *Singular degree of a rational matrix pseudodifferential operator*, preprint arXiv:1308.2647, to appear in IMRN.

[CDSK14] Carpentier S., De Sole A., Kac V.G., *Rational matrix pseudodifferential operators*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **20** (2014), n.2, 403-419.

[DSK13] De Sole A., Kac V.G., *Non-local Poisson structures and applications to the theory of integrable systems*, Jpn. J. Math. **8** (2013), no. 2, 233-347.

[DSKT13] De Sole A., Kac V.G., Turhan R., *A new approach to the Lenard-Magri scheme of integrability*, preprint arXiv:1303.3438, to appear in Comm. Math. Phys.

[FL96] Fokas A.S. and Liu Q.M., *Asymptotic integrability of water waves*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77** (1996), n.12, 2347-2351.

[GN90] Gümral H. and Nutku Y., *Multi-Hamiltonian structure of equations of hydrodynamic type*, J. Math. Phys. **31** (1990), n.11, 2606-2611.

[Ito82] Ito M., *Symmetries and conservation laws of a coupled nonlinear wave equation*, Phys. Lett. A **91** (1982), no. 7, 335-338.

[Kup85a] Kupershmidt B.A., *Mathematics of dispersive water waves*, Comm. Math. Phys. **99** (1985), n.1, 51-73.

[Kup85b] Kupershmidt B.A., *A coupled Korteweg-de Vries equation with dispersion*, J. Phys. A **18** (1985), n.10, L571-L573.

[Mag78] Magri F., *A simple model of the integrable Hamiltonian equation*, J. Math. Phys. **19** (1978), no. 5, 1156-1162.

[MSY87] Mikhailov A.V., Shabat A.B., Yamilov R.I., *The symmetry approach to the classification of nonlinear equations. Complete lists of integrable systems.* (Russian) Russian Math. Surveys **42** (1987), n.4, 1-63.